3MT® Competition Judging Rubric

PRESENTER:
_____

SCHOOL/INSTITUTE/FACULTY:
_____

UNIVERSITY:
_____

3MT TITLE:
_____

SCORING CALIBRATION:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Does not meet expectations	Demonstrates competency but some major weaknesses	Demonstrates competency but some significant weaknesses	Good, but some flaws	Very good, only very minor flaws	Excellent, almost flawless	Outstanding, no flaws

Please include a score between 1 and 7 in the 2 sections below.
The competitor will then be provided with an overall score out of 14

COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT	
Presentation provided clear background and significance to the research question	
Presentation provided clear positionality of the presenter to the research and research approach	
Presentation clearly described the research strategy/design and the results/findings of the research	
Presentation clearly described the conclusions, outcomes and impact of the research	
Score out of 7	

ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION	
The oration was delivered clearly, and the language was appropriate for a non-specialist audience	
The PowerPoint slide was well-defined and enhanced the presentation	
The presenter conveyed enthusiasm for their research and captured and maintained the audience's attention	
Score out of 7	

OVERALL SCORE	/14

COMMENTS

This very brief comment will be used to provide feedback to unsuccessful entrants via email. Please identify one positive and one area for improvement (the most obvious area). **e.g. xxx was good but you need to work on xxx**

_____
_____
_____
_____